

Errata
October 2017

“The Extraordinary Impossibility of Sherlock Holmes” (2016)

p. 337 n. 4: ‘Benacerraf 1965, 5, 62, 67’ should be ‘Benacerraf 1965, 55, 62, 67’.

“Serial Fiction, Continued” (2014)

p. 70: McGonigal would not in fact “deny (A_3) as a matter of interpretation.” (There is a passage on p. 176 where McGonigal says that the relevant proposition is “not true” relative to the relevant circumstance; but there are also passages on p. 175 and p. 176 where McGonigal says that the relevant proposition is “false” relative to the relevant circumstance, in which case *Hope* does contradict the original trilogy and (A_3) is true.)

“Against a Defense of Fictional Realism” (2014)

p. 211: ‘Attributed to Groucho Marx in *Duck Soup*’ should be ‘Groucho Marx in *Duck Soup*’.

p. 213: The title of Schnieder and von Solodkoff’s paper is ‘In Defence of Fictional Realism’, not ‘In Defense of Fictional Realism’. (The title is correct in p. 213 n. 9 but incorrect in the text.)

p. 221 n. 19: ‘Martinich, A.P. and Avrum, S.’ should be ‘Martinich, A.P. and Stroll, A.’.

“Benacerraf’s Revenge” (2013)

p. S119 n. 37: ‘the fact that (B) as an instance of’ should be ‘the fact that (B) is an instance of’.

“Never Been Kicked” (2011)

p. 151: In the movie, Marla Singer says some things after “I’ve got a stomach full of Xanax” before the narrator replies.

“Parts of Singletons” (2010)

p. 504 n. 12: The right quotation marks should come immediately after ‘[i]ntuitively’. (The rest of the sentence should be an indirect quotation.)

p. 527: The definition of *immediately below* given in the text is incorrect. The correct definition is as follows: one level is immediately below another just in case the first level is lower than the second level and any level that is lower than the second level is either lower than, or identical to, the first level.

“On Sense and Direct Reference” (2006)

p. 7: ‘<George, the identity relation, <Cary, the identity relation, Cary>>’ should be ‘<George, the belief relation, <Cary, the identity relation, Cary>>’.

(The page numbering in the document is not the official page numbering.)

“Existence” (2006)

p. 372: The objection that *being instantiated* doesn’t seem to be “fundamental in the right sort of way” comes from Phillip Bricker, “McGinn on Non-Existent Objects and Reducing Modality,” *Philosophical Studies* 118.3 (April 2004): 439–451, at 440.

“Fusions and Ordinary Physical Objects” (2005)

pp. 61–83: This paper rests on a mistake. See Caplan and Matheson 2006: 67–68.

“Creatures of Fiction, Myth, and Imagination” (2004)

p. 335 n. 11: I got the idea of using an analogy between authors and imaginers directly—rather than a chain of analogies, one between authors and myth-makers, another between myth-makers and imaginers—from Mark Barber.

“What’s Puzzling Gottlob Frege?” (2001)

p. 161 n. 5: ‘Dunnett’ should be ‘Dummett’.

p. 169 n. 19: ‘note 1’ should be ‘note 2’.

p. 199: ‘Section VI’ should be ‘Section V’.

(A number of further corrections, mainly to punctuation, are indicated on the PDF.)